UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,916	10/28/2003	Sang Ho Lee	SI-0044	3953
34610 KED & ASSOC	7590 06/24/200 CIATES, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 22120	00	AHMED, SALMAN		
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/693,916	LEE, SANG HO	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	SALMAN AHMED	2619	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sist forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or	orrognonding number of finally rei	acted claims	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ²		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant / imenament (i	102 02+).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	rplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-13 and 16-25</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,14,15 and 26-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	∍d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619	Salman Ahmed Examiner		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments see pages 12-14 of the Remarks section, filed 6/9/2008, with respect to the rejections of the claims have been fully considered and are not persuasive for the reasons as follows:

Applicant's amendment to claim 4, "using the at least one RLP frame" from -by means of RLP frames-- changes the scope of the claim and requires further consideration/review and/or search.

Similar issue exists on claim 5.

Applicant's amendment to claim 14, changes the scope of the claim from "using more than one frame for providing SVD service" to -- using at least one frame for providing SVD service--. As such it requires further consideration and /or search.

Applicant's amendment to claim 17, changes the scope of the claim from "transmit and receive the voice and packet data by means of RLP frames" to -- transmit and receive the voice and packet data based on the at least one RLP frame--. "By means of" has a different scope than that of "based on". Further, "at least one frame RLP" has a different scope than that of "RLP frames". As such it requires further consideration and /or search.

Applicant's amendment of claim 18 line 9 from "and" to "or" changes the scope of the claim and requires further consideration and/or search.

Applicant's amendment to claim 26, although amended by adding allowable subject matter, raises new issue and requires further consideration and/or search. With the allowable subject matter being added, claim 26 is very different in scope to claims 1 or 4 or 5 or 14 or 17 and 18, and has different limitations. As such, newly amended claim 26 raises new issue and requires further consideration and/or search.

Newly added claim 33, raises new issue and requires further consideration and/or search similar to claim 26.

Finally, newly amended claim 26 and newly added claims 32 and 33 appears to have 35 USC 112 second paragraph related problems that raises new issue..